



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/019,100	08/21/2003	Zahir Saidi	34074.00887LDSE.09.US.CIP	8648
61214	7590	03/09/2010	[REDACTED]	EXAMINER
Fox Rothschild, LLP				SOROUSH, LAYLA
Elan Pharma International Limited			[REDACTED]	ART UNIT
997 Lenox Drive, Bldg. #3				PAPER NUMBER
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648				1627
			[REDACTED]	MAIL DATE
				DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2010	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/019,100	SAIDI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	LAYLA SOROUSH	1627

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Appeal Brief

(1) LAYLA SOROUSH. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Vyacheslav V Vasilyev. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 February 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*All claims of record*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's question regarding the status of claims was addressed. The discussion entailed whether Applicant was in fact required to submit the full listing of claims and status identifiers for the Appeal Brief submitted on 11/09/09. The Applicant was directed to the MPEP and notified that the Appeal Brief was defective due to the lack of status identifiers and full listing of claims.